

Report on the work package assessment (Annex QA12)

Barbara Karleuša
University of Rijeka, Faculty of Civil Engineering - UNIRIFCE

Sixth Quality Assurance Committee meeting, 09/09/2021

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

University of Nis



www.swarm.ni.ac.rs

Strengthening of master curricula in water resources
management for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

Project number: 597888-EPP-1-2018-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

Content:


1. Last report on the work package assessment
2. Previous reports on the work package assessment
3. Conclusion

1. Last report on the work package assessment (03/09/2021)

The work package leaders evaluated the overall assessment of work package management with high marks. Task leaders should send the results on time. There is a work delay because of COVID-19.

Overall assessment of work package management

Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Structure of work package time schedule	0	0	20.0	40.0	40.0
Communication with task leaders	0	0	20.0	20.0	60.0
Timeliness of feedbacks from the task leaders when requested	0	0	0	40.0	60.0
Timeliness of providing deliverables	0	0	0	40.0	60.0




1. Last report on the work package assessment (03/09/2021)

The work package leaders evaluated the level of involvement with high marks.

Evaluation of level of involvement


Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Work package leader is actively involved in the project development	0	0	20.0	40.0	40.0
Satisfied with the implementation of the work package activities	0	0	20.0	40.0	40.0
Distribution among partners of tasks sharing	0	0	0	60.0	40.0




2. All reports on the work package assessment

1st report:	05/2019
2nd report:	09/2019
3rd report:	05/2020
4th report:	09/2020
5th report:	05/2021
6th report:	09/2021

Overall assessment of work package management





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

3. Conclusion

- **6 reports on the work package assessment (Annex QA12)**
- **Results:**
 - High marks between 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent)**
 - Except for: 1st report (05/2019) - WP1 some marks in „overall assesment” were 3 (good)
 - 2nd report (09/2019) - WP1 2 marks in „overall assesment” were 3 (good)
 - 6th report (09/2021) - some marks were 3 (good)

Structure of work package time schedule

Communication with task leaders

Work package leader is actively involved in the project development

Satisfied with the implementation of the work package activities

Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

www.swarm.ni.ac.rs



Thank you for your attention!